Layer-By-Layer Entangled Spin-Orbital Texture of the Topological Surface State in Bi$_2$Se$_3$
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We study Bi$_2$Se$_3$ by polarization-dependent angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy and density-functional theory slab calculations. We find that the surface state Dirac fermions are characterized by a layer-dependent entangled spin-orbital texture, which becomes apparent through quantum interference effects. This explains the discrepancy between the spin polarization obtained in spin and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy—ranging from 20% to 85%—and the 100% value assumed in phenomenological models. It also suggests a way to probe the intrinsic spin texture of topological insulators, and to continuously manipulate the spin polarization of photoelectrons and photocurrents all the way from 0 to ±100% by an appropriate choice of photon energy, linear polarization, and angle of incidence.
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Topological insulators (TIs) define a new state of matter in which strong spin-orbit interaction (SOI) leads to the emergence of a metallic topological surface state (TSS) formed by spin-nondegenerate Dirac fermions [1–6]. To capture the physics of TIs, a spin-momentum locking with 100% spin polarization is usually assumed for the TSS in time-reversal invariant models [3–5]. The successful realization of topological insulating behavior in quantum wells [7,8] and crystalline materials such as Bi$_2$Se$_3$ [9–11] brings us closer to the practical implementation of theoretical concepts built upon novel topological properties. However, the large discrepancy in the degree of TSS spin polarization determined for Bi$_2$Se$_3$ by spin and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (spin-resolved ARPES)—ranging from 20% to 85%—challenges the hypothesis of a 100% spin polarization for real TIs. First-principles density-functional theory (DFT) also indicates that the TSS spin polarization in members of the Bi$_2$X$_3$ material family (X = Se, Te) can be substantially reduced from 100% [17,18]. Based on general symmetry arguments, it was shown that the spin polarization direction of photoelectrons in spin-resolved ARPES can be very different from that of the TSS wave function [19]. However, the role played by the intrinsic properties of the TSS wave function in defining the highest spin polarization that could be achieved, for instance in dc and photoinduced electrical currents, has remained elusive.

We report here that the TSS many-layer-deep extension into the material’s bulk—in concert with strong SOI—gives rise to a layer-dependent, entangled spin-orbital texture of the Dirac fermions in Bi$_2$Se$_3$. A remarkable consequence, specifically exploited in this study, is that one can gain exquisite sensitivity to the internal structure of the TSS wave function, $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$, via quantum interference effects in ARPES. The spin-orbital texture is captured directly in the linear-polarization dependence of the ARPES intensity maps in momentum space, and can be fully resolved with the aid of ab initio DFT slab calculations. This has also major consequences in the interpretation of spin-resolved ARPES results, explicitly solving the puzzle of the TSS spin polarization, and suggesting how 100% spin polarization of photoelectrons and photocurrents can be achieved and manipulated in topological-insulator-based devices by using linearly polarized light.

We start our discussion from the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ ARPES results in Fig. 1, measured with $\sigma$ and $\pi$ linearly polarized 21.2 eV photons [20,21]. Based on the experimental geometry [Fig. 1(a)] and photoemission selection rules, $\sigma$-polarization probes the in-plane $p_x$ and $p_y$ orbitals, whereas $\pi$ polarization a combination of both in-plane and out-of-plane ($p_z$) orbitals: the 80% overall intensity reduction observed by switching from $\pi$ to $\sigma$ polarization indicates that the TSS has a dominant $p_z$ character. As for the evolution of the ARPES intensity around the Dirac cone, in $\sigma$ polarization [Figs. 1(c)–1(e)] we observe a twofold pattern at both 0.1 and 0.2 eV above the Dirac point (DP), consistent with a previous report [22], although somewhat asymmetric with respect to the $k_y = 0$ plane [see in particular Fig. 1(e)]; this suggests a tangential alignment of the in-plane $p_{x,y}$ orbitals with respect to the Dirac constant-energy contours. Conversely in $\pi$ polarization [Figs. 1(f)–1(h)] we observe a strongly asymmetric pattern at 0.1 eV above the DP, which evolves into a triangular pattern while still retaining some asymmetry at 0.2 eV above the DP; this is in stark contrast to the uniform distribution of intensity along the Dirac contour expected...
for the dominant out-of-plane $p_z$ orbitals. Finally, at $-0.1 \text{eV}$ below the DP, a triangular pattern is observed for both polarizations [see insets of Figs. 1(c) and 1(f)].

The asymmetry in ARPES intensity between $\pm k_\|$, in particular evident in $\pi$ polarization at 0.1 eV in Fig. 1(f) and in the band dispersion of Fig. 1(b). This finding, which might seem in conflict with the time-reversal invariance of the TSS, provides fundamental clues on the structure of $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$. Time-reversal invariance requires the state at $+k$ with (pseudo) spin up to be degenerate with the state at $-k$ with (pseudo) spin down, i.e., to have the same real-orbital occupation numbers. This so-called Kramers degeneracy, together with the ARPES selection rules for linearly polarized light, forbids intensity patterns which are different at $\pm k$. We emphasize here that this restriction can be rephrased in terms of purely in-plane momentum coordinates, i.e., $\pm k_\|$, only for a perfect two-dimensional TSS with a delta-function-like density, for which $k_z$ plays no role. Thus the observation of an imbalance in ARPES intensity at $\pm k_\|$, together with the established time-reversal invariance of TIs, necessarily implies that $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$ must have a finite extent, albeit not a dispersion [23], along the third dimension. While details will become clear when discussing our DFT results in Fig. 3, we anticipate that this, together with SOI, leads to a complex layer-dependent spin-orbital entanglement in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$, which becomes apparent in ARPES through photoelectron interference.

By performing ARPES intensity calculations [20,24] for TSS and bulk wave functions from our DFT slab calculations, we accurately reproduce the data. As shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(f), we obtain very different intensities at $\pm k_\|$ in excellent agreement with the results for both $\sigma$ and $\pi$ polarizations. Specifically, we reproduce the quasi-two-fold pattern in $\sigma$ polarization, stemming from the spatial configuration of $p_{x,y}$ orbitals [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)], the quasi-three-fold pattern away from the DP [Fig. 2(c)], which originates from the hybridization between TSS and bulk states [25,26], and also the triangular patterns at $-0.1 \text{eV}$ [insets of Figs. 2(a) and 2(d)]. Note that the ARPES intensity visible at the DP point in Figs. 1(d) and 1(g), but not reproduced by our calculations, originates from the scattering-induced broadening of the bulk.
conduction band [27]. As a final test of the robustness of our DFT analysis of $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$, we have calculated constant-energy circular dichroism ARPES patterns, which are also in excellent agreement with previous studies [30,31].

To gain a microscopic understanding of the properties of $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$, we present our DFT results for a 250-layer slab of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ [20] in Fig. 3(a), with bulk states in green and TSS in orange. The in- and out-of-plane $p$ orbital projections in Fig. 3(b) confirm that $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$ indeed has a large $p_z$ (70%) character—although $p_{x,y}$ (30%) is also significant—and most importantly that $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$ extends deep into the solid. Even though the orbital weight decays exponentially with the distance from the surface, as expected for a surface bound-state, $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$ extends $\sim$2 quintupole layers (QL) below the surface ($\sim$ 2 nm), with $\sim$75% contribution from the 1st QL and $\sim$25% from the 2nd QL. Note also the interesting layer dependence of the orbital character: while for most layers the main component is the out-of-plane $p_z$, for the 5th the in-plane $p_{x,y}$ is actually dominant.

As a consequence of the relativistic SOI, which directly connects orbitals to spin flips via the $\ell^2 s^5$ terms of the spin-orbit operator $\mathbf{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{s} = \ell_z s^z + (\ell^x s^y + \ell^y s^x)/2$, the strongly layer-dependent orbital occupation becomes entangled with the spin polarization of $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$. To visualize this entanglement, in Figs. 3(d)–3(f) we present the layer- and orbital-projected charge density along the 0.15 eV Dirac contour indicated in Fig. 3(c), colored according to the expectation value of the $S_y$ operator [20]. The $p_z$-projected charge density, being associated with a single orbital, cannot be entangled and has the layer-independent spin helicity shown in Fig. 3(d). In contrast, a strong layer-dependent spin-orbital entanglement is observed for $p_{x,y}$, because the eigenstates can be a linear combination of $p_{x,y}$ and similar states, resulting in a complex set of charge-density surfaces. These surfaces show two overall spatial configurations oriented tangentially and radially with respect to the Dirac contour, with opposite spin helicity, as seen in Fig. 3(e). In Fig. 3(f) we show the total layer-dependent charge density obtained by adding in- and out-of-plane contributions according to their relative weights in Fig. 3(b); from this it is clear that while the $p_z$ orbitals dominate, the $p_{x,y}$ orbitals lead to a substantial spin-orbital entanglement of the combined $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$.

This entanglement also leads to complex in- and out-of-plane spin texture, as shown in Figs. 4(a)–4(d) where the layer-integrated spin patterns of individual and total $p$ orbitals are presented. While for $p_z$ we find the in-plane helical spin texture expected for the TSS, this is not the case for the $p_x$ and $p_y$ orbitals, which exhibit patterns opposite to one another. Combining all contributions $[(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{total}})/n_{\text{total}}$ in Fig. 4(d)], the TSS out-of-plane spin texture vanishes in the vicinity of the DP; most important, the in-plane spin polarization is reduced from 100% to 75% at
the DP, and to 60% at 0.4 eV above the DP [20]. Note that this is also critically dependent on the relative $p_{x,z}$ orbital content of $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$, which increases from 25% to 45% over the same energy range [20].

For the discussion of the ARPES intensity [20], we will here use the approximation $I \propto |\langle e^{ik \cdot r}|\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p}|\Psi_{\text{TSS}}\rangle|^2$, expressed in terms of plane-wave photoelectron final states for simplicity. By writing $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$ as a linear combination of layer-dependent eigenstates, $\Psi_{\text{TSS}} = \sum_i \alpha_i \psi_{i,k_{||}}$, with $i$ and $\sigma$ being layer and spin indexes, the ARPES intensity becomes $I \propto \sum_i \sum_j \langle e^{-ik \cdot z_i} \langle e^{ik \cdot r_i}|\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p}|\alpha_i \psi_{i,k_{||}}\rangle\rangle|^2$. Here, the $e^{-ik \cdot z}$ phase term accounts for the photoelectron optical path difference stemming from the TSS finite extent into the bulk. Because both $e^{-ik \cdot z}$ and $\psi_{i,k_{||}}$ vary from layer to layer [the latter via the relative orbital content as shown in Fig. 3(f)], the photoemission intensity is dominated by interference between the $\psi_{i,k_{||}}$ eigenstates, and can in fact be regarded as the Fourier transform of the layer-dependent $\Psi_{\text{TSS}}$. We also note that, because the phase of photoelectrons is defined by additive $k_z$ and $k_{||}$ contributions, reversing the sign of either $k_z$ or $k_{||}$ will change the ARPES intensity, i.e., $I(k_z) \neq I(-k_z)$ and especially $I(k_{||}) \neq I(-k_{||})$ as observed experimentally.

Photoelectron interference also severely affects the spin polarization $P_{x,y,z} = (I_{x,y,z} - I_{x,y,z}^*)/(I_{x,y,z}^* + I_{x,y,z})$ measured in spin-resolved ARPES [20]. This exhibits a strong dependence on photon energy, polarization, and angle of incidence, which in general prevents the straightforward experimental determination of the intrinsic spin-texture of Bi$_2$Se$_3$. While comprehensive results are presented in Figs. S2 and S3 [20], in Figs. 4(e) and 4(f) we show as an example the same $k$ point along $\vec{\Gamma} - M$ measured in two different geometries, probing selectively $p_{y,z}$ (e) and $p_{x,z}$ (f) orbitals. In Fig. 4(e), because $\langle \hat{S}_{0x}^p \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{S}_{0y}^p \rangle$ (the spin polarization of the $p_x$ and $p_z$ orbitals) are antiparallel at this specific $k$ point, $P_y$ varies between $\pm 100$% upon changing $\theta$, and oscillates wildly as a function of photon energy (with the exception of 0° and 90°, which probe $p_y$ and $p_z$ separately). However, if the sample is rotated by 90° as in Fig. 4(f), $\langle \hat{S}_{0x}^p \rangle$ becomes parallel to $\langle \hat{S}_{0y}^p \rangle$ and the measured $P_{x,y,z}$ are all independent of photon energy and incidence angle, allowing the detection of the intrinsic spin polarization. We note that this behavior is consistent with reported spin-resolved ARPES results [16]: for the situation of Fig. 4(f), $P_y \approx 80\%$ was obtained, close to our 100% expectation; along $\vec{\Gamma} - K$, $P_y$ was observed to vary from 25% at $h \nu = 36$ eV to $-50\%$ at 70 eV, while we obtain $+20 \pm 10\%$ and $-40 \pm 15\%$, respectively [20].

In conclusion, the TSS layer-dependent spin-orbital entanglement is responsible—via photoelectron interference—for the apparent time-reversal symmetry breaking in ARPES and the large discrepancy in the estimated TSS
spin polarization from spin-resolved ARPES. This is of critical importance for many applications and fundamental studies of TIs; e.g., the observed \( I(k_{||}) \neq I(-k_{||}) \) provides an explanation for the so-far puzzling result of spin-polarized electrical currents photoinduced by linearly polarized light \[32\], which also is associated with an imbalance in the number of photoelectrons removed at \( \pm k_{||} \). In addition, exploiting photoelectron interference in spin-resolved ARPES provides a way not only to probe the intrinsic spin texture of TIs, but also—and most importantly—to precisely control in- and out-of-plane spin polarization of the photocurrent in spin-resolved ARPES—all the way from \( 0 \) to \( \pm 100\% \)—by varying energy, polarization, and angle of incidence of the incoming photons.
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26. Note that the threefold pattern is associated with the \( k_z \) dispersion of valence- and conduction-band bulk states.
27. In the experiment, the bulk conduction band is significantly broadened by disorder-induced scattering, which leads to intensity leaking down to lower binding energies inside the gap, an effect not accounted for in our calculations. We also note that while this same disorder affects to some degree the linewidth and electronic filling of the TSS, its main features—such as dispersion and spin-orbital texture close to the Dirac point—are retained, as a manifestation of topological protection \[28,29\].
33. Note that the TSS calculations have actually been performed for 0.12 and 0.2 eV, in order to account for the DP-conduction-band gap renormalization observed between experiment (\( \approx 26 \) meV) and DFT (300 meV).
34. \( \Psi_{TSS} \) is composed of those states that exceed a 20% projection onto the top 5 atomic layers in real space.